Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/903,131	NEE, WRIGHT J.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Harry S. Hong	2614
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>		
(1) <u>Harry S. Hong</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>James R. Nock (Reg. No. 42,937)</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 23 April 2009	Time: <u>4:00 PM</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: potential 35 U.S.C. 101		
Claims discussed: 35		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  Mr. Nock agreed to clarify the language of claim 35 in order to preempt any potential non-statutory issues. Refer to the attached Examiner's Amendment.		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		